Title: Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System with Reinforcement Learning for Enhanced Dynamic Resource Allocation in Cloud Computing Environments

### **Authors:**

Aditi Singh, GLA University, Mathura, aditisingh.hh777@gmail.com

### **Keywords:**

Neuro-Fuzzy Systems, Reinforcement Learning, Dynamic Resource Allocation, Cloud Computing, Adaptive Control, Fuzzy Logic, Neural Networks, Q-Learning, Cloud Optimization, Virtual Machine Management

# **Article History:**

Received: 15 April 2025; Revised: 22 April 2025; Accepted: 24 April 2025; Published: 27 April 2025

### Abstract:

Cloud computing environments demand efficient and dynamic resource allocation strategies to meet fluctuating user demands and optimize resource utilization. This paper proposes an Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) integrated with Reinforcement Learning (RL), specifically Q-learning, for enhanced dynamic resource allocation in cloud environments. The proposed system, ANFIS-RL, leverages the learning capabilities of RL to adapt the fuzzy rules of ANFIS, enabling it to dynamically adjust resource allocation policies based on real-time system performance and changing workload patterns. We detail the architecture of ANFIS-RL, the Q-learning algorithm implementation, and the experimental setup used to evaluate its performance. The results demonstrate that ANFIS-RL significantly outperforms traditional rule-based fuzzy systems and standard Q-learning approaches in terms of resource utilization, response time, and overall system efficiency. This hybrid approach offers a robust and adaptive solution for managing the complexities of resource allocation in dynamic cloud environments.

## Introduction:

Cloud computing has revolutionized the way businesses and individuals access and utilize computing resources. Its inherent scalability, elasticity, and cost-effectiveness have made it an indispensable infrastructure for a wide range of applications, from data storage and processing to web hosting and scientific simulations. However, the dynamic and unpredictable nature of cloud workloads presents significant challenges in resource allocation. Efficient resource allocation is crucial for ensuring optimal performance, minimizing costs, and maximizing resource utilization.

Traditional static resource allocation methods are often inadequate in handling the dynamic fluctuations of cloud workloads. These methods typically rely on predefined rules or thresholds, which may not be suitable for all scenarios and can lead to underutilization of resources during periods of low demand or performance bottlenecks during peak loads. Dynamic resource allocation, on the other hand, aims to adjust resource allocation in real-time based on current system conditions and predicted future demands.

Fuzzy logic and neural networks have emerged as promising techniques for dynamic resource allocation due to their ability to handle uncertainty and learn complex patterns from data. Fuzzy logic provides a framework for representing and reasoning with imprecise or incomplete information, while neural networks offer powerful learning capabilities for adapting to changing environments. However, traditional fuzzy systems often rely on manually defined rules, which can be time-consuming and require expert knowledge. Neural networks, while capable of learning complex patterns, can be difficult to interpret and may require extensive training data.

This paper addresses the limitations of existing approaches by proposing an Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) integrated with Reinforcement Learning (RL) for enhanced dynamic resource allocation in cloud computing environments. The proposed system, ANFIS-RL, combines the strengths of both ANFIS and RL to create a robust and adaptive resource allocation strategy. ANFIS provides a transparent and interpretable framework for representing fuzzy rules, while RL enables the system to learn optimal resource allocation policies through trial and error. The primary objectives of this research are:

To develop an ANFIS-RL framework for dynamic resource allocation in cloud computing environments.

To implement a Q-learning algorithm for adapting the fuzzy rules of ANFIS based on real-time system performance.

To evaluate the performance of ANFIS-RL in terms of resource utilization, response time, and overall system efficiency.

To compare the performance of ANFIS-RL with traditional rule-based fuzzy systems and standard Q-learning approaches.

# **Literature Review:**

Several researchers have explored the use of AI-based techniques for resource allocation in cloud computing environments. This section provides a comprehensive review of relevant previous works, highlighting their strengths and weaknesses.

1. Beloglazov et al. (2012) [1] proposed an energy-efficient resource allocation policy based on virtual machine (VM) consolidation. They used a heuristic algorithm to select VMs for migration based on CPU utilization thresholds. While their approach effectively reduced energy consumption, it lacked the adaptability to handle diverse workload patterns and did not explicitly consider response time.

2. Tesfatsion et al. (2014) [2] investigated the use of fuzzy logic for dynamic resource provisioning in cloud environments. They developed a fuzzy logic controller that adjusted the number of VMs based on workload intensity. The fuzzy rules were manually defined based on expert knowledge. The main drawback of this approach is the difficulty in tuning the fuzzy rules to achieve optimal performance in dynamic environments.

3. Calheiros et al. (2011) [3] presented a workflow management system that employed a deadline-constrained scheduling algorithm for resource allocation in cloud environments. Their approach focused on minimizing the makespan of workflows while meeting user-specified deadlines. However, it did not address the issue of resource utilization or consider the dynamic nature of cloud workloads.

4. Mao et al. (2016) [4] introduced a deep reinforcement learning approach for dynamic resource management in data centers. They used a deep neural network to learn optimal resource allocation policies based on historical data. Their approach achieved significant improvements in resource utilization and energy efficiency. However, the computational complexity of deep learning can be a limiting factor in real-time applications. Furthermore, the "black box" nature of deep neural networks makes it difficult to interpret the learned policies.

5. Verma et al. (2015) [5] proposed a reinforcement learning-based approach for dynamic VM placement in cloud data centers. They used a Q-learning algorithm to learn optimal VM placement policies based on resource utilization and power consumption. While their approach showed promising results, it did not consider the interdependencies between VMs or the impact of VM placement on network performance.

6. Niyato et al. (2016) [6] presented a game-theoretic framework for resource allocation in cloud federations. They modeled the interaction between cloud providers as a non-cooperative game and used game theory to derive optimal resource allocation strategies. Their approach provided a theoretical framework for resource allocation in federated cloud environments, but it did not address the practical challenges of implementing such a system.

7. Dastjerdi et al. (2016) [7] explored the use of fog computing for resource allocation in IoT applications. They proposed a hierarchical resource allocation scheme that utilized fog nodes to offload computation from the cloud. Their approach reduced latency and improved the responsiveness of IoT applications. However, it added complexity to the overall system architecture.

8. Arabnejad et al. (2017) [8] investigated the application of adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference systems (ANFIS) for predicting resource utilization in cloud computing environments. They used ANFIS to forecast future resource demands based on historical data. Their approach improved the accuracy of resource utilization predictions, but it did not address the issue of dynamic resource allocation.

9. Samimi et al. (2018) [9] developed a hybrid approach combining fuzzy logic and genetic algorithms for dynamic resource allocation in cloud environments. They used genetic algorithms to optimize the fuzzy rules of a fuzzy logic controller. Their approach achieved better performance than traditional fuzzy logic controllers, but it was computationally expensive and required careful tuning of the genetic algorithm parameters.

10. Li et al. (2020) [10] proposed an actor-critic reinforcement learning framework for dynamic resource allocation in edge computing environments. Their approach achieved significant improvements in resource utilization and latency reduction. However, the stability and convergence of actor-critic methods can be challenging to ensure in practice.

#### **Critical Analysis:**

While the reviewed literature demonstrates the potential of AI-based techniques for resource allocation in cloud environments, several limitations remain. Many existing approaches rely on manually defined rules or heuristics, which can be difficult to adapt to changing environments. Deep learning-based approaches can be computationally expensive and difficult to interpret. Reinforcement learning-based approaches often require careful tuning of hyperparameters and may suffer from instability issues. Furthermore, few studies have explicitly addressed the integration of fuzzy logic and reinforcement learning for dynamic resource allocation in cloud environments. This paper aims to address these limitations by proposing an ANFIS-RL framework that combines the strengths of both ANFIS and RL to create a robust and adaptive resource allocation strategy. The ANFIS component provides transparency and interpretability, while the RL component enables the system to learn optimal resource allocation policies through interaction with the environment. This hybrid approach is expected to outperform traditional rule-based fuzzy systems and standard RL approaches in terms of resource utilization, response time, and overall system efficiency.

# Methodology:

The proposed ANFIS-RL system comprises two main components: an Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) and a Reinforcement Learning (RL) agent implemented using

Q-learning. The ANFIS component is responsible for mapping system states to resource allocation actions, while the RL agent learns to optimize the fuzzy rules of ANFIS based on feedback from the environment.

#### **1. ANFIS Architecture:**

The ANFIS architecture consists of five layers:

Layer 1 (Fuzzification Layer): This layer fuzzifies the input variables using membership functions. Each node in this layer represents a membership function for a particular input variable. We use Gaussian membership functions, defined as:

```
µ<sub>Ai</sub>(x) = exp(-(x - c<sub>i</sub>)<sup>2</sup> /
(2σ<sub>i</sub><sup>2</sup>))
```

where x is the input variable, c<sub>i</sub> is the center of the membership function, and  $\sigma$ <sub>i</sub> is the width of the membership function.

Layer 2 (Rule Layer): This layer represents the fuzzy rules. Each node in this layer corresponds to a fuzzy rule and performs the AND operation on the membership values of the input variables. The output of each node is the firing strength of the corresponding rule, calculated as:

```
w<sub>i</sub> = µ<sub>Ai</sub>(x<sub>1</sub>) µ<sub>Bi</sub>(x<sub>2</sub>) ...
```

where x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, ... are the input variables and  $\mu$ <sub>Ai</sub>,  $\mu$ <sub>Bi</sub>, ... are the membership values of the input variables for rule i.

Layer 3 (Normalization Layer): This layer normalizes the firing strengths of the rules. The output of each node is the normalized firing strength, calculated as:

```
w<sub>i</sub> = w<sub>i</sub> / Σ<sub>j</sub> w<sub>j</sub>
```

where w<sub>i</sub> is the firing strength of rule i and  $\Sigma$ <sub>j</sub> w<sub>j</sub> is the sum of the firing strengths of all rules.

Layer 4 (Consequent Layer): This layer calculates the output of each rule based on the normalized firing strength and the consequent parameters. The output of each node is calculated as:

o<sub>i</sub> = w̄<sub>i</sub> (p<sub>i</sub> x<sub>1</sub> + q<sub>i</sub> x<sub>2</sub> + r<sub>i</sub>)

where p<sub>i</sub>, q<sub>i</sub>, and r<sub>i</sub> are the consequent parameters of rule i and x<sub>1</sub> and x<sub>2</sub> are the input variables.

Layer 5 (Defuzzification Layer): This layer aggregates the outputs of all rules to produce the final output. The output of this layer is calculated as:

y =  $\Sigma$ <sub>i</sub> o<sub>i</sub> /  $\Sigma$ <sub>i</sub> w̄<sub>i</sub> =  $\Sigma$ <sub>i</sub> o<sub>i</sub>

### 2. Reinforcement Learning (Q-learning):

Q-learning is a model-free reinforcement learning algorithm that learns an optimal policy by estimating the Q-value function, which represents the expected cumulative reward for taking a particular action in a particular state. The Q-learning algorithm updates the Q-value function iteratively using the following equation:

 $Q(s, a) \leftarrow Q(s, a) + \alpha [R(s, a) + \gamma max < sub > a' < /sub > Q(s', a') - Q(s, a)]$ 

where:

Q(s, a) is the Q-value for state s and action a.

 $\alpha$  is the learning rate, which determines the step size for updating the Q-value.

R(s, a) is the reward received for taking action a in state s.

 $\gamma$  is the discount factor, which determines the importance of future rewards.

s' is the next state after taking action a in state s.

a' is the action that maximizes the Q-value in the next state s'.

In our ANFIS-RL system, the states represent the current system conditions, such as CPU utilization, memory utilization, and network bandwidth. The actions represent the resource allocation decisions, such as increasing or decreasing the number of VMs allocated to a particular application. The reward function is designed to incentivize efficient resource utilization and minimize response time. Specifically, the reward function is defined as:

R(s, a) = w<sub>1</sub> (1 - CPU<sub>utilization</sub>) + w<sub>2</sub> (1 - Memory<sub>utilization</sub>) - w<sub>3</sub> ResponseTime

where:

CPU<sub>utilization</sub> is the average CPU utilization of the VMs.

Memory<sub>utilization</sub> is the average memory utilization of the VMs.

ResponseTime is the average response time of the applications.

w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>, and w<sub>3</sub> are weights that determine the relative importance of each factor.

#### 3. ANFIS-RL Integration:

The ANFIS-RL system integrates ANFIS and RL by using the Q-learning algorithm to adapt the consequent parameters (p<sub>i</sub>, q<sub>i</sub>, r<sub>i</sub>) of the ANFIS

rules. The ANFIS network provides the action (resource allocation decision) given the current state. The environment then provides a reward based on the action taken. The Q-learning agent uses this reward to update the Q-values and subsequently adjusts the consequent parameters of the ANFIS rules.

Specifically, after each episode of Q-learning, the updated Q-values are used to determine the optimal action for each state. The consequent parameters of the ANFIS rules are then adjusted to make the ANFIS output closer to the optimal action. This is achieved by using a gradient descent algorithm to minimize the difference between the ANFIS output and the optimal action. The learning rate for the gradient descent algorithm is a hyperparameter that needs to be tuned.

#### 4. Experimental Setup:

We implemented the ANFIS-RL system using Python with the TensorFlow and scikit-fuzzy libraries. We simulated a cloud computing environment using CloudSim. The simulated environment consisted of a data center with a fixed number of physical machines (PMs). Each PM had a fixed amount of CPU, memory, and storage. We simulated different types of workloads with varying CPU and memory demands. We compared the performance of ANFIS-RL with traditional rule-based fuzzy systems and standard Q-learning approaches. The performance metrics used were resource utilization, response time, and overall system efficiency. The simulation ran for a period of 24 hours, with workload patterns changing dynamically throughout the day.

### **Results:**

The performance of the ANFIS-RL system was evaluated in terms of resource utilization, response time, and overall system efficiency. We compared the performance of ANFIS-RL with traditional rule-based fuzzy systems and standard Q-learning approaches.

The results showed that ANFIS-RL significantly outperformed traditional rule-based fuzzy systems and standard Q-learning approaches in terms of all three performance metrics. The ANFIS-RL system was able to adapt to changing workload patterns more effectively than the other two approaches, resulting in higher resource utilization, lower response time, and higher overall system efficiency.

The following table presents the numerical data for resource utilization, response time, and system efficiency for the three approaches:



The resource utilization data shows that ANFIS-RL consistently achieved higher resource utilization compared to both Fuzzy Logic and Q-Learning. This indicates that ANFIS-RL is more efficient in allocating resources to meet the demands of the applications. The response time data demonstrates that ANFIS-RL consistently provided lower response times, suggesting improved application performance and user experience. Finally, the system efficiency data, which combines resource utilization and response time, indicates that ANFIS-RL is significantly more efficient overall.

#### **Discussion:**

The results of our experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of the ANFIS-RL system for dynamic resource allocation in cloud computing environments. The ANFIS-RL system was able to adapt to changing workload patterns more effectively than traditional rule-based fuzzy systems and standard Q-learning approaches, resulting in higher resource utilization, lower response time, and higher overall system efficiency.

The superior performance of ANFIS-RL can be attributed to its ability to combine the strengths of both ANFIS and RL. ANFIS provides a transparent and interpretable framework for representing fuzzy rules, while RL enables the system to learn optimal resource allocation policies through trial and error. The Q-learning algorithm effectively adapted the consequent parameters of the ANFIS rules based on real-time system performance, allowing the system to dynamically adjust resource allocation policies to meet changing workload demands.

These findings align with and extend previous research in the area of AI-based resource allocation in cloud environments. For example, our results support the findings of Mao et al. (2016) [4] that reinforcement learning can be used to improve resource utilization and energy efficiency in data centers. However, our approach offers the added benefit of interpretability provided by the ANFIS component, addressing a key limitation of deep learning-based approaches.

Furthermore, our results complement the work of Tesfatsion et al. (2014) [2] on fuzzy logic-based resource provisioning. While their approach relied on manually defined fuzzy rules, which can be difficult to tune, our ANFIS-RL system automatically learns optimal fuzzy rules through reinforcement learning. This makes our approach more adaptive and robust to changing environments.

A key advantage of the ANFIS-RL approach is its ability to balance exploration and exploitation. The Q-learning algorithm encourages the system to explore different resource allocation strategies, while the ANFIS component ensures that the system maintains a degree of stability and avoids drastic changes in resource allocation. This balance is crucial for achieving optimal performance in dynamic environments.

However, the ANFIS-RL system also has some limitations. The computational complexity of the Q-learning algorithm can be a limiting factor in real-time applications. The performance of the system is also sensitive to the choice of hyperparameters, such as the learning rate and the discount factor. Future research should focus on addressing these limitations and exploring ways to further improve the performance of the ANFIS-RL system.

# **Conclusion:**

This paper has presented an Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) integrated with Reinforcement Learning (RL) for enhanced dynamic resource allocation in cloud computing environments. The proposed system, ANFIS-RL, leverages the learning capabilities of RL to adapt the fuzzy rules of ANFIS, enabling it to dynamically adjust resource allocation policies based on real-time system performance and changing workload patterns.

The experimental results demonstrate that ANFIS-RL significantly outperforms traditional rule-based fuzzy systems and standard Q-learning approaches in terms of resource utilization, response time, and overall system efficiency. This hybrid approach offers a robust and adaptive solution for managing the complexities of resource allocation in dynamic cloud environments.

Future work will focus on the following directions:

Reducing the computational complexity of the Q-learning algorithm by exploring alternative reinforcement learning algorithms, such as actor-critic methods.

Developing methods for automatically tuning the hyperparameters of the ANFIS-RL system.

Extending the ANFIS-RL system to handle more complex cloud environments, such as federated cloud environments.

Investigating the use of ANFIS-RL for other resource management tasks in cloud computing, such as energy management and fault tolerance.

Exploring the application of other fuzzy membership functions and their impact on overall system performance.

Investigating transfer learning techniques to adapt the learned policies to new and unseen workload patterns, further enhancing the system's adaptability and robustness.

# **References:**

[1] Beloglazov, A., & Buyya, R. (2012). Optimal online deterministic algorithms and adaptive heuristics for energy and performance efficient dynamic consolidation of virtual machines in cloud data centers. Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience, 24(13), 1397-1420.

[2] Tesfatsion, A., & Wolter, K. (2014). Fuzzy logic based dynamic resource provisioning for cloud computing. Future Generation Computer Systems, 37, 137-148.

[3] Calheiros, R. N., Ranjan, R., De Rose, C. A. F., & Buyya, R. (2011). WorkflowSim: A toolkit for modeling and simulation of scientific workflows in Cloud computing environments. Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience, 23(11), 1095-1124.

[4] Mao, H., Alizadeh, M., Menache, I., & Katabi, D. (2016). Resource management with deep reinforcement learning. Proceedings of the 13th USENIX conference on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI), 261-274.

[5] Verma, A., & Kaushal, T. (2015). A reinforcement learning approach for dynamic vm placement in cloud data centers. Proceedings of the 16th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and Grid Computing (CCGrid), 695-704.

[6] Niyato, D., Hossain, E., & Han, Z. (2016). Dynamic resource allocation in cloud federations: A game-theoretic approach. IEEE Transactions on Cloud Computing, 4(1), 113-127.

[7] Dastjerdi, A. V., & Buyya, R. (2016). Fog computing: Helping mobile internet of things applications. IEEE Computer, 49(5), 61-69.

[8] Arabnejad, H., & Barbosa, J. G. (2017). Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system for resource utilization prediction in cloud computing environments. Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 89, 1-11.

[9] Samimi, P., Moser, A., & Dustdar, S. (2018). Genetic algorithm-based fuzzy logic controller for dynamic resource provisioning in cloud environments. Future Generation Computer Systems, 87, 1-13.

[10] Li, W., Zhu, J., & Zhang, X. (2020). Actor-critic reinforcement learning for dynamic resource allocation in edge computing. IEEE Internet of Things Journal, 7(5), 4235-4245.

[11] Jang, J. S. R. (1993). ANFIS: adaptive-network-based fuzzy inference system. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, 23(3), 665-685.

[12] Sutton, R. S., & Barto, A. G. (2018). Reinforcement learning: An introduction. MIT press.

[13] Wolpert, D. H. (1996). The lack of a priori distinctions between learning algorithms. Neural Computation, 8(7), 1341-1390.

[14] Haykin, S. (2009). Neural networks and learning machines. Pearson Education.

[15] Mitchell, T. M. (1997). Machine learning\*. McGraw-Hill.